

Conformal Anomalies and Einstein Equations

Hermann Nicolai

MPI für Gravitationsphysik, Potsdam

(Albert Einstein Institut)

Work based on:

K. Meissner and H.N.: arXiv:1607.07312

H. Godazgar, K. Meissner and H.N.: arXiv:1612.01296

and work in progress

Executive Summary

Is the cancellation of conformal anomalies required

- **Quantum mechanically:** to ensure quantum consistency of perturbative quantum gravity?

... in analogy with cancellation of gauge anomalies for Standard Model (where cancellation is required to maintain renormalizability), and/or

- **already at classical level:** corrections from induced anomalous non-local action to Einstein Field Equations may potentially overwhelm smallness of Planck scale $\ell_{\text{PL}} \Rightarrow$ huge corrections to any solution?

If so, cancellation requirement could lead to *very strong* restrictions on admissible theories!

See also: G. 't Hooft, Int.J.Mod.Phys. D24(2015)154001

Conformal Symmetry

Conformal symmetry comes in two versions:

1. Global conformal symmetry = extension of Poincaré group by dilatations D and conformal boosts K^μ

2. Local dilatations = Weyl transformations

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow e^{2\sigma(x)} g_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

Important consequence: flat space limit of Weyl and diffeomorphism invariant theories exhibits full (global) conformal symmetry (via conformal Killing vectors)

→ important restrictions on effective actions $\Gamma = \Gamma[g]$ with $\Gamma[g]$ as the generating functional for correlators of energy momentum tensor $\langle T_{\mu_1\nu_1}(x_1) \cdots T_{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n) \rangle \Big|_{g_{\mu\nu}(x)=\eta_{\mu\nu}}$.

Conformal Anomaly \equiv Trace Anomaly

Conformal anomaly (\equiv trace anomaly) [Deser, Duff, Isham(1976)]

$$T_{\mu}^{\mu}(x) = a \mathbf{E}_2(x) \equiv a R(x) \quad (D = 2)$$

$$T_{\mu}^{\mu}(x) = \mathcal{A}(x) \equiv a \mathbf{E}_4(x) + c C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x) \quad (D = 4)$$

where $\mathbf{E}_4(x) \equiv$ Euler number density

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_4 &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \\ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 2R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}R^2 \end{aligned}$$

Coefficients c_s and a_s for fields of spin s (with $s \leq 2$) were computed already long ago.

[Duff(1977); Christensen, Duff(1978); Fradkin, Tseytlin(1982); Tseytlin(2013); Eguchi, Gilkey, Hanson, Phys.Rep.66(1980)213; see also "Path integrals and anomalies in curved space" by Bastianelli, van Nieuwenhuizen]

NB: further contribution to anomaly $\propto \square R$ can be removed by *local* counterterm R^2 .

Anomalous Effective Action

Anomaly can be obtained by varying anomalous effective action $\Gamma_{\text{anom}} = \Gamma_{\text{anom}}[g]$

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g(x)}} g_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\delta \Gamma_{\text{anom}}[g]}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)}$$

but this effective action is necessarily *non-local*.

Simplest example: string theory in *non-critical* dimension has a trace anomaly $T^\mu{}_\mu \propto R \Rightarrow$ leads to anomalous effective action = Liouville theory. [Polyakov(1981)]

$$\Gamma_{\text{anom}}^{D=2} \propto \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} R \square_g^{-1} R$$

- new propagating degree of freedom (longitudinal mode of world sheet metric = Liouville field)
 \Rightarrow changes physics in dramatic ways!

Analog for gravity in $D = 4$: non-local actions that give a -anomaly *exactly* are known, for instance [Riegert(1984)]

$$\Gamma_{\text{anom}}[g] = \int d^4x d^4y \sqrt{-g(x)} \sqrt{-g(y)} \left(\mathbf{E}_4 - \frac{2}{3} \square_g R \right) (x) G^P(x, y) \left(\mathbf{E}_4 - \frac{2}{3} \square_g R \right) (y)$$

with $\Delta^P G^P(x) = \delta^{(4)}(x)$, and 4th order operator [Paneitz(1983)]

$$\Delta^P \equiv \square_g \square_g + 2 \nabla_\mu \left(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} g^{\mu\nu} R \right) \nabla_\nu$$

However, no closed form actions are known that have the correct conformal properties (as would be obtained from Feynman diagrams), despite many efforts.

[Deser, Schwimmer(1993); Erdmenger, Osborn(1998); Deser(2000); Barvinsky et al.(1998); Mazur, Mottola(2001); ...]

In lowest order

$$\Gamma_{\text{anom}}^{D=4} \propto \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathbf{E}_4 \square_g^{-1} R + \dots$$

where \dots stands for *infinitely many* (non-local) terms.

While

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\text{anom}}[g]}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)}$$

is local, contribution to Einstein equations

$$\ell_{PL}^{-2}\left[R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R\right] = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}\frac{\delta\Gamma_{\text{anom}}[g]}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)} + \dots$$

in general *remains non-local* for non-scalar modes.

Claim: non-localities from \square_g^{-1} in $\Gamma_{\text{anom}}[g]$ can ‘overwhelm’ smallness of Planck scale and produce observable deviations for Einstein’s equations!

... if Γ_{anom} is to be added to classical action [cf. E. Mottola (since 2001)]

Typical correction is (symmetrized traceless part of)

$$\propto \nabla_{\mu}(G^{\text{ret}} \star \mathbf{E}_4) \nabla_{\nu}(G^{\text{ret}} \star R) + \dots$$

with retarded propagator G^{ret} in space-time background given by metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ solving classical Einstein equations.

For order of magnitude estimate, evaluate this integral for a (conformally flat) cosmological background

$$ds^2 = a(\eta)^2(-d\eta^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2)$$

by integrating from end of radiation era ($= t_{\text{rad}}$) back to $t_0 = n_* \ell_{\text{PL}}$, with $a(\eta) = \eta/(2t_{\text{rad}})$ and $\eta = 2\sqrt{tt_{\text{rad}}}$ and with retarded Green's function [Waylen(1978)]

$$G^{\text{ret}}(\eta, \mathbf{x}; \eta', \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} \cdot \frac{\delta(\eta - \eta' - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)}{a(\eta)a(\eta')}$$

Resulting correction on r.h.s. of Einstein's equations

$$T_{00}^{\text{anom}} \sim 10^{-5} t_{\text{rad}}^{-1} (n_* \ell_{\text{PL}})^{-3}$$

'beats' factor $\sim (t_{\text{rad}} \ell_{\text{PL}})^{-2}$ on l.h.s. even for $n_* \sim 10^8$!

Similar results from evaluating contribution of Riegert action with Green's function $\Delta^P G_P(x, y) = \delta^{(4)}(x, y)$

$$G_P(\eta, \mathbf{x}; \eta', \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \theta(\eta - \eta' - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \quad (\text{for any } a(\eta)!)$$

Modifications of Einstein's equations

→ corrections can be *exactly* evaluated for conformally flat background [Goadazgar, Meissner, HN:1612.01296]

$$\ell_{PL}^{-2} G_{\mu\nu} \propto \int d^4y \int d^4z \sqrt{-g(x)} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu G_P(x, y) \nabla^\alpha G_P(x, z) \\ + \textit{plus many more terms}$$

Evaluation of integrals for conformally flat background produces many terms of the same order of magnitude as l.h.s. ... idem for slightly non-homogeneous backgrounds, and for action with dilaton τ and spontaneously broken conformal symmetry [Schwimmer, Theisen(2011)]

$$W = -a \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{f} \tau \mathbf{E}_4 + \frac{2}{f^2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \tau \partial_\nu \tau + \frac{4}{f^3} \partial^\mu \tau \partial_\mu \tau \square \tau - \frac{2}{f^4} (\partial^\mu \tau \partial_\mu \tau)^2 \right)$$

→ looks like generic phenomenon, and could thus affect *any* solution of Einstein equations!

⇒ need to cancel conformal anomalies?

Cancelling conformal anomalies

	massless		massive	
	c_s	a_s	\bar{c}_s	\bar{a}_s
$0(0^*)$	$\frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2})$	$-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{179}{2})$	$\frac{3}{2}(\emptyset)$	$-\frac{1}{2}(\emptyset)$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}$	$-\frac{11}{4}$	$\frac{9}{2}$	$-\frac{11}{4}$
1	18	-31	$\frac{39}{2}$	$-\frac{63}{2}$
$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{411}{2}$	$\frac{589}{4}$	-201	$\frac{289}{2}$
2	783	-571	$\frac{1605}{2}$	$-\frac{1205}{2}$

- \bar{c}_s and \bar{a}_s include lower helicities: $\bar{c}_1 = c_1 + c_0$, *etc.*
(but numbers need to be re-checked for $s = \frac{3}{2}, 2$!)
- Gravitinos and supergravity needed for cancellation
- No cancellation possible for $N \leq 4$ supergravities

NB: gravitino contribution may evade positivity properties because of ghost contribution *and* because there does not exist a gauge invariant traceless energy momentum tensor for $s = \frac{3}{2}$.

$$c_2 + 5c_{\frac{3}{2}} + 10c_1 + 11c_{\frac{1}{2}} + 10c_0 = 0 \quad (N = 5)$$

$$c_2 + 6c_{\frac{3}{2}} + 16c_1 + 26c_{\frac{1}{2}} + 30c_0 = 0 \quad (N = 6)$$

$$c_2 + 8c_{\frac{3}{2}} + 28c_1 + 56c_{\frac{1}{2}} + 70c_0 = 0 \quad (N = 8)$$

Old result: combined contribution $\sum_s (c_s + a_s)$ vanishes for all $N \geq 3$ theories with appropriate choice of field representations for spin zero fields [Townsend,HN(1981)].

Thus: conformal anomalies for $\sum_s a_s$ and $\sum_s c_s$ cancel only for $N \geq 5$ supergravities! [Meissner,HN(2016)]

... as they do for ‘composite’ U(5), U(6) and SU(8) R-symmetry anomalies. [Marcus(1985)]

Related to possible finiteness of $N \geq 5$ supergravities?

Idem for D=11 SUGRA compactified $\text{AdS}_4 \times S^7$

	$SO(8)$ representations
0	$[n+2\ 0\ 0\ 0], [n\ 0\ 2\ 0], [n-2\ 2\ 0\ 0],$ $[n-2\ 0\ 0\ 2], [n-2\ 0\ 0\ 0]$
$\frac{1}{2}$	$[n+1\ 0\ 1\ 0], [n-1\ 1\ 1\ 0],$ $[n-2\ 1\ 0\ 1], [n-2\ 0\ 0\ 1]$
1	$[n\ 1\ 0\ 0], [n-1\ 0\ 1\ 1], [n-2\ 1\ 0\ 0]$
$\frac{3}{2}$	$[n\ 0\ 0\ 1], [n-1\ 0\ 1\ 0]$
2	$[n\ 0\ 0\ 0]$

‘Floor-by-floor’ cancellation [Cf. Gibbons, HN(1985)]: for all n

$$\bar{c}_2 f_2(n) + \bar{c}_3 f_{\frac{3}{2}}(n) + \bar{c}_1 f_1(n) + \bar{c}_{\frac{1}{2}} f_{\frac{1}{2}}(n) + \bar{c}_0 f_0(n) = 0$$

where $f_s(n) \equiv \sum$ (dimensions of $SO(8)$ spin- s irreps) at Kaluza-Klein level n (no anomalies for odd D).

Conceptual Issues

Why worry about conformal anomalies in theories that are not even classically conformally invariant?

HOWEVER: recall axial anomaly and anomalous conservation of axial current

$$\partial^\mu J_\mu^5 = 2im\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi + \frac{\alpha}{8\pi}F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

→ anomaly is crucial *even in presence of explicitly broken axial symmetry* ($m \neq 0$).

Idem for gauge anomalies in Standard Model: these must cancel even when quarks and leptons acquire masses via spontaneous symmetry breaking.

Is there a hidden conformal structure behind $N \geq 5$ supergravities (and M Theory)? But cannot be conformal supergravity in any conventional sense...

Outlook

V. Mukhanov: “You cannot figure out the fundamental theory by simply looking at the sky!”

But maybe there is a way...